

Fixed-income Securities

Lecture 2: Basic Terminology and Concepts

Philip H. Dybvig
Washington University in Saint Louis

- Various interest rates
- Present value (PV) and arbitrage
- Forward and spot interest rates
- discount factors and discount rates
- par coupon yields

Copyright © Philip H. Dybvig 2000

Various interest rates

We will start with the nuts and bolts of fixed-income securities by having a look at the definitions and inter-relations of various interest rates. Talking about interest rates instead of prices is important for intuition, communication, and calculation. For example, quoting a bond yield is much more comparable across maturities, size, and coupon rate than quoting a price. In this lecture, we will focus on a clear description of the concepts, leaving some institutional details to next lecture.

During this lecture, we consider looking at trades made at one date for claims to nonrandom cash flows at future dates. Although no explicit mention of uncertainty will be made, we will learn later that beliefs about future random realizations are implicit in prices quoted today.

Central to our understanding will be notions of present value and arbitrage, so we start our discussion with what is probably a review of these topics.

Present value (fixed interest rate)

Suppose the interest rate is fixed at r , and that we can obtain the riskless cash flows of $c_1 > 0$ one year from now and $c_2 > 0$ two years from now for a price of $p > 0$ today. Then the net cash flows from this purchase can be represented in the following table.

time (years from now)	0	1	2
buy the cash flow	$-p$	c_1	c_1

We know from introductory finance that the *correct* value of the future cash flows is given by their *present value*

$$PV = \frac{c_1}{1+r} + \frac{c_2}{(1+r)^2}$$

or that the value of the whole purchase is given by its *net present value* (NPV)

$$NPV = -p + \frac{c_1}{1+r} + \frac{c_2}{(1+r)^2}$$

Suppose $p < PV$. Then what should we do?

Present value (fixed interest rate): the arb

Continuing from the last slide, if the market value is low ($p < PV$), then intuitively we want to buy, but this may interfere with our other plans (to use our cash to pay rent or buy a yacht or whatever). The solution is to construct an arb which gives us cash up front. Specifically, we borrow amounts today for repayment 1 year hence and 2 years hence to be paid off by the cash flows from the projects.

time (years from now)	0	1	2
buy the cash flow	$-p$	c_1	c_2
borrowing until year 1	$\frac{c_1}{1+r}$	$-c_1$	0
borrowing until year 2	$\frac{c_2}{(1+r)^2}$	0	$-c_2$
total net cash flow	$-p + \frac{c_1}{1+r} + \frac{c_2}{(1+r)^2}$	0	0

Constructing this *arb* (short for arbitrage), we have converted a profit opportunity into riskless cash (in the amount of the NPV) up front.

Deep theoretical insights

- Buy if it's too cheap.
- Sell if it's too expensive.
- Use an arb to convert a good deal into pure profit.

Spot rate and forward rates

So far, we have taken the yield curve to be flat; that is, we have assumed that we can enter commitments now to borrow and lend money a year from now on the same terms that are available now. That is why the accumulation of interest on a two-year loan is the same in both years. However, this was just a simplifying assumption.

In fact, commitments for a year from now will typically be made at a different rate than is available now in the spot market. The *spot rate* is the rate at which we borrow and lend now for payment a year from now. The rates at which we commit for borrowing and lending in different future years are *forward rates*.

We need to fix notation to quantify these things. We will denote by r_t the spot rate quoted at $t - 1$ for lending until t . And, we will denote by $f(s, t)$ the forward rate quoted at time s for lending from $t - 1$ to t in the future. It is useful to think of the spot rate as a trivial case of forward rate, that is, $r_t = f(t - 1, t)$.

A plot of an interest rate against maturity is called a *yield curve*. One yield curve is the yield curve in forward rates. We will encounter other yield curves later.

PV with a nontrivial yield curve

One general expression for the present value with a nontrivial yield curve has discounting by each of the one-period forward rates in turn. If we have a riskless cash flow that will pay c_1 one year hence, c_2 two years hence, c_3 three years hence, etc., then the present value is

$$\begin{aligned}
 PV &= \frac{c_1}{1 + f(0, 1)} + \frac{c_2}{(1 + f(0, 1))(1 + f(0, 2))} \\
 &\quad + \dots + \frac{c_T}{(1 + f(0, 1))(1 + f(0, 2)) \dots (1 + f(0, T))} \\
 &= \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{c_t}{\prod_{s=1}^t (1 + f(0, s))}
 \end{aligned}$$

where Σ indicates a sum of terms and Π indicates a product.

NPV with a nontrivial yield curve

The NPV includes the initial cash flow. If we have that p is the purchase price so that $c_0 = -p$ is the initial cash flow, then the net present value is, as usual,

$$\begin{aligned}
 NPV &= PV - p \\
 &= \sum_{t=0}^T \frac{c_t}{\prod_{s=1}^t (1 + f(0, s))}
 \end{aligned}$$

where an empty product is defined to equal 1.

PV: the arb

Suppose cash flows of c_1 a year hence and c_2 two years hence are selling for the bundled price of p , and this price is larger than the present value computed using spot and forward rates $f(0, 1) = r_1$ and $f(0, 2) = r_2$. Then we can sell the cash flows in the market (because they are too expensive) and convert this profitable opportunity into cash up front using the following arb.

time (years from now)	0	1	2
sell the cash flow	p	$-c_1$	$-c_2$
spot lending	$-\frac{c_1}{1+r_1} - \frac{c_2}{(1+r_1)(1+r_2)}$	$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r_2}$	0
forward lending	0	$-\frac{c_2}{1+r_2}$	c_2
total net cash flow	$p - \frac{c_1}{1+r_1} - \frac{c_2}{(1+r_1)(1+r_2)}$	0	0

In-class exercise: the PV arb

Suppose the market price is 150 for a security paying 100 a year from now and 90 two years from now. Further suppose that the spot one-year rate is 25% and the forward rate for lending from one year out to two years out is 20%.

- Compute the PV and NPV of the cash flow.
- How do we profit from the discrepancy between the market price and PV?
- Construct an arb to convert the profit into a sure thing.

time (years from now)	0	1	2
sell the cash flow	150	-100	-90

The bad news

At this point, it may seem that we have arrived at a very cheerful state of affairs: after a few simple calculations we buy and sell and pocket cash. Unfortunately, things are not so easy.

- Absence of arbitrage is the norm.
- Most arbs still involve some risk-taking.
- Many smart people are looking for arbs; finding simple arbs is especially rare.

For finance scholars, our theory usually assumes there is no arbitrage, and $p = PV$. When our theory is wrong, we do not feel so bad, because then we can make money by trading.

Present value using zero-coupon bonds

- add up prices times quantities as at the grocery
- *discount factor* $D(s, t)$: price at date s of getting \$1 at some subsequent date t
- Look at the corresponding *zero-coupon* (or *bullet* or *pure-discount*) bond with face F and price P
- $D(s, t) = P/F$

Denote by c_t the cash flow at t . Then, the *present value* and *net present value* are given by the following formulas.

$$PV = \sum_{t=1}^T D(0, t)c_t$$

$$NPV = \sum_{t=0}^T D(0, t)c_t$$

Present value using zero-coupon bonds: the arb

Consider the following scenario. A marketed claim is a riskless self-amortizing loan that pays \$100 a year from now and \$100 two years from now and is priced at \$165 in the market. A zero-coupon bond maturing one year from now costs 90 cents per dollar of face value, while a zero-coupon bond maturing two years from now costs 80 cents per dollar of face value. This means that the self-amortizing loan has a present value of $.9 \times \$100 + .8 \times \$100 = \$170$. Since the market price is less than the PV, this claim is cheap and we should buy it. Here are the cash flows:

time (years from now)	0	1	2
buy the cash flow	-165	100	100
borrowing until year 1	90	-100	0
borrowing until year 2	80	0	-100
total net cash flow	5	0	0

Again, the arb converts a good deal into pure profit.

Zero-coupon prices and yields

For any bond, its *yield* is the fixed interest rate that would make the bond price equal to the present value of its cash flows. Therefore, the yield $z(s,t)$ at time s on a zero (a zero-coupon bond) maturing at a later date t solves the equation

$$D(s,t) = \frac{1}{(1+z(s,t))^{t-s}}$$

where $D(s,t)$ is the corresponding discount factor, so that

$$z(s,t) = D(s,t)^{-1/(t-s)} - 1$$

$$\approx \frac{1 - D(s,t)}{t-s}$$

The approximation is best for short maturities (small $t-s$) and small rates.

Institutional note The approximation is similar to, but different from, the formula

$$P = F \left(1 - d \frac{dtm}{360} \right)$$

used in practice to relate a Treasury Bill *discount* d to its price P , face value F and days-to-maturity dtm . This formula is not an approximation, and instead it defines what price corresponds to the quoted yield. Note that 360 is not an approximation to the number of days in the year; Treasury discounts are defined in terms of an artificial 360-day year.

In-class exercise: discount factors

Given the spot one-year interest rate of 20% and a one-year forward interest rate of 25%, what are the one-year and two-year discount factors? What are the one-year and two-year zero-coupon yields?

Implied forward rates

In the market, we see active quotes of discount bonds (Treasury STRIPs) rather than the forward borrowing and lending rates. However, we can replicate forward borrowing to obtain an *implied* forward rate from the STRIP curve. For example, if we want to borrow \$2 million for one year, two years out, we buy \$2 million face of STRIPs maturing in two years, and we sell short an equivalent dollar value (today) of STRIPs maturing in three years. Given $D(0, 2)$ and $D(0, 3)$, we would buy \$2 million face of 2-year strips worth $\$2D(0, 2)$ today, which would be paid for using $\$2D(0, 2)/D(0, 3)$ face of 3-year strips. The implied forward rate would therefore be $(2D(0, 2)/D(0, 3) - 2)/2 = D(0, 2)/D(0, 3) - 1$. The general formula is

$$f(s, t) = \frac{D(s, t-1)}{D(s, t)} - 1$$

Implied forward rates: the arb

Of course, whenever there is a replicating portfolio there is an implicit arb if prices are out of line. For example, suppose we can borrow and lend forward one year from now at 10%, and that the discount factor is 90% one year out and 80% two years out. Then the implied forward rate is $.9/.8 - 1 = .125$, which means (borrowing) money is too cheap, so we should buy. At the scale of \$1, the arb is:

time (years from now)	0	1	2
borrow forward	0	1	-1.1
sell one-year zero	.9	-1	0
buy two-year zero	-.88	0	1.1
total net cash flow	0.02	0	0

Coupon bond yields

The yield on a coupon bond is the interest rate that makes its price equal to its present value. If a bond has price P , T remaining coupons of c per period, and face value F (paid at the maturity T periods from now), then the yield y solves the equation

$$P = \frac{F}{(1+y)^T} + \sum_{s=1}^T \frac{c}{(1+y)^s}$$

We often talk of the *moneyiness* of a bond. A bond sells at a *discount* if its price is less than its face value, at *par* if its price is equal to its face value, or at a *premium* if its price is greater than its face value.

Institutional note In practice, most coupon bonds pay coupons twice a year. For this reason, bond yields are customarily computed using half-year rates with interpolation for fractions of half-years. The rates are quoted as annual rates, defined to be twice the half-year rates. Also, bonds are traded with accrued interest so the price does not jump at coupon dates.

In-class exercise: par coupon yields

A coupon bond maturing in two years has a face value of \$112 million and an annual coupon of \$8 million per year. If its yield is 20%, what is its price now? (The coupon this year has already been paid, so the price does not include a claim to the coupon now.)

Par coupon bond yields

Often when we hear about *the* yield curve, the speaker has in mind a plot of coupon bond yields against maturity. However, there is no reason in general why different coupon bonds with the same maturity would have exactly the same yield; in fact this would be the exception rather than the rule. Therefore, when people talk about *the* yield curve for coupon bonds, they have not really pinned it down. Talking about the par coupon bond yield pins it down.

To compute a par coupon yield from discount factors, we first note that the yield y is the same as the *coupon yield* c/F for a par coupon bond, that is,

$$F = \frac{F}{(1+y)^T} + \sum_{s=1}^T \frac{c}{(1+y)^s}$$

implies $y = c/F$ (as can be proven using the annuity formula). Now,

$$F = FD(0, T) + \sum_{s=1}^T yFD(0, s),$$

so that

$$y = \frac{1 - D(0, T)}{\sum_{s=1}^T D(0, s)}$$